

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/770,074	01/25/2001	Colin I'Anson	30001736US 4695		
7590 03/14/2005			EXAMINER		
Paul D. Greeley			PEREZ, ANGELICA		
c/o Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle Suite 903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
One Landmark Square			2684		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/770,074	l'ANSON, COLIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Perez M. Angelica	2684		

Advisory Action	03/110,014	TANCON, COL					
After the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
	Perez M. Angelica	2684	<u> </u>				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress				
The reply filed <u>0 September 2005</u> is acknowledged.							
 The reply filed on or after the date of filing of an ap Appeals and Interferences, will <u>not</u> be entered became. 		sion by the Board	l of Patent				
 a. The amendment is not limited to canceling any other pending claims) or rewriting dependent claim can be excluded in rewriting the cancel of the ca	pendent claims into independent	form (no limitation					
 b. The affidavit or other evidence is not time. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(2). 	ly filed before the filing of an appe	eal brief.					
2. The reply is not entered because it was not filed within the two month time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.39(b), 41.50(a)(2), or 41.50(b) (whichever is appropriate). Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are not available.							
Note: This paragraph is for a reply filed in respincludes a new ground of rejection (37 CFR 41 response to a remand by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences decision to	i.39(a)(2)); (b) a supplemental ex Appeals and Interferences (37 CF	aminer's answer FR 41.50(a)(2)); o	written in or (c) a Board				
3. $oxed{\boxtimes}$ The reply is entered. An explanation of the status of	of the claims after entry is below o	or attached.					
4 D Othor							
4. Other:							
		•					

Claims remain rejected as in the Final Action. As explained before, latency if broadly interpreted can be used as a "criteria" to be taken into consideration as an "acceptable delay before transfer initiation". Latency provides the time it will take the information to travel through the network, therefore, the user can have an estimated time duration of delivery, before the data initiates its transference.

NAY MAUNG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES